

ScienceDirect



The datafication of talent: how technology is advancing the science of human potential at work

Tomas Chamorro-Premuzic^{1,2}, Reece Akhtar^{1,2}, Dave Winsborough¹ and Ryne A Sherman³



This article reviews three innovations that not only have the potential to revolutionize the way organizations identify, develop and engage talent, but are also emerging as tools used by practitioners and firms. Specifically, we discuss (a) machine-learning algorithms that can evaluate digital footprints, (b) social sensing technology that can automatically decode verbal and nonverbal behavior to infer personality and emotional states, and (c) gamified assessment tools that focus on enhancing the user-experience in personnel selection. The strengths and limitations of each of these approaches are discussed, and practical and theoretical implications are considered.

Addresses

¹ Hogan Assessment Systems, 11 South Greenwood, Tulsa, OK 74012, United States

² Department of Psychology & Language Sciences, University College London, 26 Bedford Way, London WC1H 0AP, UK

³ Department of Psychology, Florida Atlantic University, 777 Glades Road, Boca Raton, FL 33431, United States

Corresponding author: Chamorro-Premuzic, Tomas (t.chamorro@ucl.ac.uk)

Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 2017, 18:13-16

This review comes from a themed issue on **Big data in the behavioural sciences**

Edited by Michal Kosinski and Tara Behrend

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.04.007

2352-1546/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Talent management, the division of Human Resources (HR) focused on identifying, developing, and engaging employees to increase organizational effectiveness, is the key human capital challenge of the 21st century [1]. Psychological assessments are a core foundation of effective talent management practices: if you cannot predict and understand human behavior, you will not be able to manage it [2]. Although assessments have been used in employment settings for over a century [3], recent technological advancements have provided a range of novel tools for evaluating employee behavior [4], and their growing adoption suggests that they have the potential

to make talent management practices less intuitive, and more evidence-based [5]. This trend is just beginning, is inevitable, and will supplant existing methods. However, most of these emerging methods have yet to be rigorously scrutinized by scientific research [6]. As a recent review indicated, the overarching field of *talent analytics* is still in its infancy, with only 16% of organizations using HR technologies to link the people side of business to critical organizational outputs, and perhaps even fewer making data-driven decisions based on those analytics. As the reviewers concluded: "despite being a very 'hot topic' among HR professionals, a search for peer-reviewed research in listed scholarly journals reveals a strikingly small amount of scholarly scientific research [on talent analytics]" (p.23) [7[•]].

These conclusions highlight several issues facing HR leaders and academics. First, HR professionals need training so that they can use existing psychological assessments correctly. Second, academics must explore the validity of emerging technologies and practices regarding big data, technology and human potential. Last, in the absence of compelling scientific evidence organizational leaders must resist the hype surrounding big data and the associated, but unsubstantiated claims promising increased effectiveness and performance. Solving these issues is beyond the scope of this article, however we review three promising innovations within the 'datafiction of talent' field to raise awareness regarding their utility, effectiveness and current limitations. It is hoped that by doing so organizations will increase the use of, and benefit from, a data-driven and evidence based approach to identifying and developing talent.

One of the most promising innovations for evaluating work-related talent is the deployment of machine-learning algorithms for translating a person's digital records, such as their social media footprint, into a psychological profile (*e.g.*, personality, cognitive ability and values) [8]. Although ethical and legal constraints have limited the application of this methodology to employee selection and screening [9], there is compelling academic evidence for the validity of digital records as signals of broad individual differences, and decades of research have linked those same individual differences to job performance, leadership potential, and counterproductive work behaviors [10]. For example, meta-analytic studies have shown that the Big Five personality traits account for as much as 50% of the variability in leadership emergence and effectiveness [11], and cognitive ability (which is largely orthogonal to the Big Five) up to 27% [12]. In turn, around half of the measurable variability in traditional measures of the Big Five and cognitive ability have been shown to be accounted for by digital records, such as the virtual groups 'liked' by Facebook users [13[•]]. Facebook data has also been used to infer dark side personality traits, such as psychopathy (manifested in eccentric and negative user content), and narcissism (manifested in self-referential content) [14]. In conjunction with digital identity claims (*i.e.*, Facebook 'likes'), individual differences in online language use [15], such as the type and frequency of words used on email, Twitter, or Facebook, are indicative of people's Big Five personality scores [16], as well as their core values [17], both of which predict elevated levels of person-job fit and employee engagement [18].

For digital records to complement traditional psychometric assessments in the prediction of work-related outcomes, their incremental validity would have to be demonstrated. Nonetheless, it would be mistaken to assume that the utility of digital records depends on this. First, digital records provide more accurate information on people's personality than human observers do [19], and recruiters and hiring managers habitually use social media to 'snoop' on candidates' profiles and make inferences about their potential [20]. One study found that nearly 70% of respondents agreed that employers have the right to check their social networking profile when evaluating them [21]. Second, digital records offer a scale advantage that represents a faster and cheaper alternative to traditional selection methods. This is for two reasons: first they do not require candidates to complete an assessment, saving time; second, even if digital tools are no more predictive of work-related outcomes than traditional tools are, assessing more candidates can compensate for less predictive power. Consider an organization that needs to hire 20 people. Let us further consider that the organization uses traditional recruitments methods, such as job board postings, and gets 100 applicants. Further, the organization uses traditional selection methods (e.g., resumes, personality assessments, references, and interviews), with a combined predictive validity of r = 0.40. Now consider a second organization that also needs to hire 20 people. This organization 'recruits' applicants via public social media profiles (e.g., LinkedIn) yielding a pool of 100 000 applicants. This organization uses modern selection methods (e.g., data mining) that have a predictive validity of only r = 0.20. Despite the lower predictive validity, the second organization will actually end up hiring a set of employees who are 0.20 SDs *more* productive or effective than the first.⁴ Many organizations do select people at this scale; Amazon

intends to hire 100 000 full time staff in 2017 [22]. In order to address ethical and legal constrains, as well as anonymity concerns [23], firms intending to use digital tools will need to allow candidates to opt-in and have control over their data, grant algorithms permission to assess them, and decide whether or not to share their psychological profiles with potential employers or recruiters [6].

The second innovative method for evaluating talent is the digital interview, whereby 'computer vision' is used to translate interviewees' vocal and nonverbal behaviors into a psychological profile or an estimate of their potential fit for a role (based on the prediction of their future job performance or employee engagement level) [5]. The promise of such technologies is the standardization of the interview process, making it more objective and cost efficient while reducing the impact of interviewer biases [24]. The digital interview was born out of the field of 'social sensing', the automatic capture and analysis of interpersonal behavior using consumer grade audio-visual equipment [25]. Research within this field has found verbal and nonverbal channels of communication (i.e., vocal characteristics, facial expressions & body movements) to be valid predictors of personality traits, often explaining up to 30% of variance [26–28]. Augmenting human hiring decisions through automated multimodal feature extraction (*i.e.*, visual & voice parameters) from online interviews seems possible and is being trialed in the lab. For instance, a study led by Laurent Son Nguyen found that social sensing technology could explain 29% of the variance in hiring decisions, over and above survey measures of the Big Five and cognitive ability [29[•]]. Although this study does not question the wealth of validity data surrounding psychometric personality and ability measures, it does demonstrate the potential social sensing technology has for identifying critical interpersonal behaviors that may go otherwise undetected by traditional recruitment tools.

A final innovation in the field of talent identification is gamification, which concerns efforts to make assessments tool more game-like (e.g., engaging, competitive, visuallyappealing, etc.) [30]. Although interactive simulations have been used as assessment and selection methods for decades [31], the digital revolution has fueled a proliferation of game-based assessments. There are many reasons why HR practitioners and organizational psychologists should be interested in game-based assessments. First, significant similarities exist between playing online role-playing games and the situations in the workplace. For instance, managing and coordinating virtual 'clans' in World of Warcraft requires the ability to cooperate, build teams, lead others, and make effective decisions [32]. Second, given that Self-Determination theory (i.e., the need for autonomy, mastery & relatedness) is predictive of video game preferences [33], game-based assessments may improve person-job fit by increasing the likelihood of

⁴ An R simulation demonstrating this can be found at: http://www.rynesherman.com/Selection%20Simulation.R.

employees being placed in jobs that are intrinsically motivating and engaging [34]. Third, test takers prefer playing games. Last, there is considerable overlap between the mental processes needed to win at video games and those that define cognitive ability [35,36[•],37] suggesting video game-based assessments may offer new ways to measure psychological attributes critical to employee talent, such as fluid intelligence [38], integrity [39] and curiosity [40]. That said, the validity and value of game-based assessments is still hypothetical, has many empirical unknowns and requires scrutiny when used for selection and development. Academics and practitioners should conduct research so the utility of these tools can be compellingly demonstrated.

Although we advocate for the benefits accruing from the datafication of talent, it is also important to consider some limitations and negative consequences of these novel approaches to talent identification. As new tools are developed and begin to be deployed in the workplace, there are three issues pertaining to the employeeemployer relationship that must be resolved: (a) clear boundaries around data ownership and sharing must be established; (b) data privacy and access must be consensual and transparent; and (c) data should be used ethically and in a non-discriminatory manner. Although regulatory bodies have already established best practices regarding these issues for the use of traditional psychological assessments, much work remains to be done in order to update these guidelines beyond HR and organization psychology, considering the intersectional nature of data, machine learning & human behavior [41].

To conclude, recent technological developments have provided new alternatives for evaluating workplace talent and potential. Although the relative accuracy of these innovations vis-à-vis traditional selection tools remains to be evaluated, there is no question that they represent a more data-driven and evidence-based approach than human intuition.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

of special interest of outstanding interest

- Cappelli P, Keller J: Talent management conceptual approaches and practical challenges. Annu. Rev. Organ. Psychol. Organ. Behav. 2014, 1:305-331.
- Chamorro-Premuzic T, Furnham A: The Psychology of Personnel Selection. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
- Schmidt FL, Hunter JE: The validity and utility of selection methods in personnel psychology: practical and theoretical implications of 85 years of research findings. *Psychol. Bull.* 1998, 124:262-274.

- Winsborough D, Chamorro-Premuzic T: Consulting psychology in the digital era: current trends and future directions. Consult. Psychol. J. Pract. Res. 2013, 65:319-324.
- Winsborough D, Chamorro-Premuzic T: Talent identification in the digital world: new talent signals and the future of HR assessment. *People Strateg.* 2016, 39:28-31.
- Chamorro-Premuzic T, Winsborough D, Sherman RA, Hogan R: New talent signals: shiny new objects or a brave new world. Ind. Organ. Psychol. Perspect. Sci. Pract. 2013, 53:1689-1699.
- Marler JH, Boudreau JW: An evidence-based review of HR
 analytics. Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag. 2016, 5192:1-24.
 This article reviews the state of HR analytics. It is noteworthy as it highlights the lack of quality peer-reviewed research and provides direction for researchers and practitioners.
- 8. Chamorro-premuzic T, Steinmetz C: The search for the best employees the hire. Nat. Publ. Gr. 2013, 24:42-47.
- 9. Lyon D: Surveillance, snowden, and big data: capacities, consequences, critique. *Big Data Soc.* 2014, 1:1-13.
- Schmitt N: Personality and cognitive ability as predictors of effective performance at work. Annu. Rev. Organ. Psychol. Organ. Behav. 2013, 1 131213170931007.
- Judge TA, Bono JE, Ilies R, Gerhardt MW: Personality and leadership: a qualitative and quantitative review. J. Appl. Psychol. 2002, 87:765-780.
- Judge TA, Colbert AE, Ilies R: Intelligence and leadership: a quantitative review and test of theoretical propositions. J. Appl. Psychol. 2004, 89:542-552.
- Kosinski M, Stillwell D, Graepel T: Private traits and attributes
 are predictable from digital records of human behavior. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2013, 110:5802-5805.

This study demonstrated the predictive validity of Facebook Likes on measures of personality traits and sensitive demographic information. Through the analysis of digital records, this paper has advanced personality theory and measurement.

- Garcia D, Sikström S: The dark side of facebook: semantic representations of status updates predict the dark triad of personality. Pers. Individ. Dif. 2014, 67:92-96.
- Schwartz HA, Eichstaedt JC, Kern ML et al.: Personality, gender, and age in the language of social media: the open-vocabulary approach. PLoS One 2013, 8.
- Yarkoni T: Personality in 100,000 words: a large-scale analysis of personality and word use among bloggers. J. Res. Pers. 2010, 44:363-373.
- Boyd RL, Wilson SR, Pennebaker JW, Kosinski M, Stillwell DJ, Mihalcea R: Values in words: using language to evaluate and understand personal values. Proc. Ninth Int. AAAI Conf. Web Soc. Media. 2015:31-40.
- Lu C qin, Wang H jiang, Lu J jing, Du D yang, Bakker AB: Does work engagement increase person-job fit? The role of job crafting and job insecurity. J. Vocat. Behav. 2014, 84:142-152.
- 19. Youyou W, Kosinski M, Stillwell D: Computer-based personality judgments are more accurate than those made by humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2015, **112**:1036-1040.
- Zide J, Elman B, Shahani-Dennig C: LinkedIn and recruitment: how profiles differ across occupations. *Empl. Relations* 2014, 36:583-604.
- 21. Vicknair J, Elkersh D, Yancey K, Budden MC: The use of social networking websites as a recruiting tool for employers. *Am. J. Bus. Educ.* 2010, **3**:7-12.
- Reisinger D: Amazon Will Hire 100,000 Full-Time Employees in Next 18 Months. Fortune. http://fortune.com/2017/01/12/ amazon-full-time-employees/. Published 2017. (Accessed 19 April 2017).
- Quercia D, Las D, Jo C et al.: Facebook and Privacy: The Balancing Act of Personality Gender, and Relationship Currency. 2012:306-313.

- Levashina J, Hartwell CJ, Morgeson FP, Campion MA: The structured employment interview: narrative and quantitative review of the research literature. *Pers. Psychol.* 2014, 67:241-293.
- Mast MS, Gatica-Perez D, Frauendorfer D, Nguyen L, Choudhury T: Social sensing for psychology: automated interpersonal behavior assessment. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 2015, 24:154-160.
- Biel J-I, Teijeiro-Mosquera L, Gatica-Perez D: FaceTube: predicting personality from facial expressions of emotion in online conversational video. Proc. 14th ACM Int. Conf. Multimodal Interact. 2012. 1–4. d.
- Biel J-I, Tsiminaki V, Dines J, Gatica-Perez D: Hi YouTube! Personality impressions and verbal content in social video. Proc. 15th ACM Int. Conf. Multimodal Interact. 2013:119-126.
- Nguyen LS, Gatica-Perez D: Hirability in the wild: analysis of online conversational video resumes. *IEEE Trans. Multimed.* 2016, 18:1422-1437.
- Nguyen LS, Frauendorfer D, Mast MS, Gatica-Perez D: Hire me:
 computational inference of hirability in employment interviews
 based on perverted behavior /EEE Trans. Multimed 2014

based on nonverbal behavior. IEEE Trans. Multimed. 2014, 16:1018-1031.
This research paper demonstrated the validity of social sensing technol-

This research paper demonstrated the validity of social sensing technologies in the identification of employee talent and personality.

- Armstrong MB, Ferrell JZ, Collmus AB, Landers RN: Correcting misconceptions about gamification of assessment: more than SJTs and badges. Ind. Organ. Psychol. 2016, 9:671-677.
- 31. Schmitt N, Cortina JM, Ingerick MJ, Wiechmann D: Personnel Selection and Employee Performance . 2003.
- 32. Yee N: The labor of fun: how video games blur the boundaries of work and play. *Games Cult.* 2006, 1:68-71.

- Przybylski AK, Rigby CS, Ryan RM: A motivational model of video game engagement. *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* 2010, 14:154.
- 34. Przybylski AK, Weinstein N, Murayama K, Lynch MF, Ryan RM: The ideal self at play: the appeal of video games that let you be all you can be. *Psychol. Sci.* 2012, 23:69-76.
- **35.** Foroughi CK, Serraino C, Parasuraman R, Boehm-Davis DA: **Can** we create a measure of fluid intelligence using puzzle creator within portal **2?** Intelligence 2016, **56**:58-64.
- Quiroga A, Escorial S, Roman F et al.: Can we reliably measure
 the general factor of intelligence (g) through commercial video games? Yes, we can! Intelligence 2015, 53:1-7.

This paper was the first to demonstrate the positive correlation between commerical video games and measures of cognitive ability (r = 0.93).

- Unsworth N, Redick TS, McMillan BD, Hambrick DZ, Kane MJ, Engle RW: Is playing video games related to cognitive abilities? *Psychol. Sci.* 2015, 26:759-774.
- Hunter JE, Hunter RF: Validity and utility of alternative predictors of job performance. Psychol. Bull. 1984, 96:72-98.
- Ewell PJ, Guadagno RE, Jones M, Dunn RA: Good person or bad character? Personality predictors of morality and ethics in avatar selection for video game play. *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* 2016, 19:435-440 http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/ cyber.2015.0207.
- 40. Mussel P: Introducing the construct curiosity for predicting job performance. J. Organ. Behav. 2013, 34:453-472.
- 41. Berkelaar BL: Cybervetting, online information, and personnel selection: new transparency expectations and the emergence of a digital social contract. *Manag. Commun. Q.* 2014, **28**:479-506.